

1 **DAVID R. PARTOVI**  
PARTOVI LAW, P.S.  
2 900 N. Maple St, Ste 102  
Spokane, WA 99201  
3 Phone (509) 270-2141  
4 Fax (509) 326-6102  
5 kelsey@partovilaw.com  
Attorney for Defendant  
6

7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

9 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  
10 Plaintiff,

11 -vs-

12 DANIEL AUGUSTINE SOLIS,  
13 Defendant.

NO. 2:20-CR-00179-TOR

DEFENDANT’S SENTENCING  
MEMORANDUM

14 TO: Richard Barker, Acting United States Attorney;  
15 Rebecca Perez and Alison Gregoire, Assistant United States Attorneys.

16 COMES NOW Defendant, DANIEL SOLIS, by and through his counsel,  
17 David R. Partovi, and offers the following thoughts regarding the sentencing.  
18

19 Recognizing that the Court rejected a defense of insanity based on involuntary  
20 intoxication due to 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit case law, Dr. Layton’s testimony at ECF 358  
21 remains significant. Dr. Layton, a qualified expert, testified on pages 25 and 26 of  
22 ECF 358 regarding the extent of Daniel Solis’ methamphetamine use. He states,  
23 “What ended up happening later on is that use continued on a way where, when it  
24 became chronic, he became psychotic, and then his judgment was impaired. He  
25 had lack of insight into what was going on, and he had a break from reality.”  
26

1 Dr. Layton references the work of Dr. Nora Volkow, a neuroscientist and director  
2 of the National Institute of Drug Abuse, who he describes as “[T]he leading expert  
3 in the United States on substance use and addiction. Dr. Layton relates her work to  
4 Daniel Solis’ psychosis describing it as “the loss of cognitive and volitional  
5 control.”  
6

7 The Court found that this was not sufficient for an insanity defense, but it  
8 mitigates against the level of evil ascribed to Mr. Solis by the government. Nor  
9 does it excuse Mr. Solis’ behavior but when coupled with the disparity between the  
10 sentence of Mr. Solis’ co-defendant and the extreme guideline, the Court is urged  
11 to consider that discrepancy.  
12  
13

14 Mr. Solis solicited the conduct of the child’s victim’s mother, he did not  
15 actually engage in the conduct. The government argues that but for Mr. Solis’  
16 solicitation, his co-defendant may not have engaged in the conduct at all. Fair  
17 enough, but it cannot be ignored that but for his co-defendant’s actual commission  
18 of the act, no act would have occurred. In fact, the PSIR contains the phrase, at  
19 paragraph 21 describing requests by Mr. Solis that his co-defendant engage in  
20 additional sexual acts, “but she refused.” This phrase is inconsistent with the  
21 following phrase, “Audree Pederson was weak, with non-existent self-esteem,  
22 willing to do whatever to appease Defendant simply for the sake of having a  
23 boyfriend.” United States’ Sentencing Memo, ECF 416 p. 5:15-6:1  
24  
25  
26

1 Both Mr. Solis and Ms. Pederson were necessary players in this horror. It  
2 may well be that without Mr. Solis' solicitation it would not have occurred, but  
3 Ms. Pederson was always free to refuse. This is by no means an argument that Mr.  
4  
5 Solis should be less culpable than Ms. Pederson, but it is very much an argument  
6 that Mr. Solis is not *four times* more culpable than Ms. Pederson which is what the  
7 United States asks for.

8  
9 It is respectfully requested that the Court rely heavily on the expert testimony  
10 of Dr. Layton in a mitigation sense. If Ms. Pederson's culpability is so totally  
11 mitigated by her inability to refuse the demands of Mr. Solis, his culpability must  
12  
13 be mitigated by his psychotic break from reality.

14 Significantly, Mr. Solis is no longer the way he was in January and February  
15 of 2020. Attached is a letter from Marlin Yoder detailing Mr. Solis' prison-based  
16 ministries over his term of incarceration as well as certificates of many of the  
17 programs he has completed during that time. We are all redeemable and none of  
18 us is as bad as the worst thing we have ever done.  
19  
20

21  
22 DATED this 7<sup>th</sup> of May, 2025

23 **PARTOVI LAW, P.S.**

24 s/ David R. Partovi

25 DAVID R. PARTOVI, WSBA #30611

26 Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that on 7<sup>th</sup> of May, 2024, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF System which I will sent notification of such filing to the following, and/or I hereby certify that I have mailed by United States Postal Service the document to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

Richard Barker, Acting United States Attorney  
Rebecca Perez, AUSA  
Alison Gregoire, AUSA  
U S Attorney's Office - SPO  
920 W Riverside Suite 300  
P O Box 1494  
Spokane, WA 99210-1494  
Telephone: 509-353-2767

**PARTOVI LAW, P.S.**

s/ David R. Partovi  
DAVID R. PARTOVI, WSBA #30611  
Attorney for Defendant